10/12/98

Larry Phillips
Greg Nickels
Louise Miller
Christopher Vance
Maggi Fimia
Kent Pullen

clerk 10/20/98 sw Introduced By:

Rob McKenna

Proposed No.:

98-626

## MOTION NO. 10579

A MOTION stating the Council's objection to the Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) current power allocation proposal, and insisting the BPA meet its statutory obligation with respect to residential and small farm customers.

WHEREAS, the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is charged with selling all of the low-cost electricity generated at the federal dams on the Columbia River and its tributaries, and

WHEREAS, BPA will allocate its limited supply of post-2001 power supplies in a subscription process, and intends to sign those contracts as early as November 1998; and

WHEREAS, BPA estimates that public agencies, which are BPA preference customers, will take as much as 5,500 aMW of firm power, and

WHEREAS, BPA has indicated that as much as 1,000 aMW of firm power not taken by the preference customers or the investor-owned utilities will be available for direct service industries such as aluminum companies, and further proposes to offer 1,000 aMW of non-firm (interruptible) power to the direct service industries for a total of 2,000 aMW, and

1

4 5

2 3

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

## 10579

	$\parallel$
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	

12

13

WHEREAS, in its own documents, BPA acknowledges that, under the Northwest Regional Power Act and other statutes, it is not required to sell power to its direct service industries and is statutorily obligated to provide the benefits of federal power to Northwest investor-owned utilities' residential and small farm customers, and

WHEREAS, BPA has limited the federal power benefits for Northwest residential and small farm customers of investor-owned utilities to 1,500 aMW, which is far short of what is necessary to adequately meet the needs of the 60% of the region's households that are served by investor-owned utilities, and

WHEREAS, every megawatt of BPA power diverted to the direct service industrial customers represents a failure of BPA's obligation to the citizens of the Northwest.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The council objects to BPA's current power allocation proposal, and

The council insists that BPA meet its statutory obligation and allocate to the

## 

1	residential and small farm customers of Northwest investor-owned utilities all of the power
2	resources not taken by BPA preference customers.
3	PASSED by a vote of $10$ to $1$ this $19^{th}$ day of $0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$ ,
4	19 <u>98</u> .
5	KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
7 8	Nouise Miller Chair
9	ATTEST:
10 11	Clerk of the Council